Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/728,701	MUHLESTEIN, MARK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131	
All Participants:	ticipants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Kaveh Abrishamkar</u> .	(3)		
(2) Jordan Becker (Reg. No. 39,602).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 6 August 2007	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 103 rejection over Tso in view of Bates		·	
Claims discussed: 94 and 115			
Prior art documents discussed: Tso et al. (U.S. Patent 6,088,803) and Bates et al. (U.S. Patent	6,721,721)		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE			
The Examiner stated that if all the limitations of independent claims would be differntiate over the Cited Prior Art (CPA). The	ms 103 and 109, were incorporat attorney authorized the proposed	ed in claims 94 and 115, then the amendments.	
Part III.		•	
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	e examiner will provide a writ	ten summary of the substance	
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	ry by the examiner appears in	Part II above.	
• .			
·			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)	